

Wavelets Beyond Admissibility

Vladimir V. Kisil

School of Mathematics, University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JT, UK
kisilv@maths.leeds.ac.uk

The purpose of this paper is to articulate an observation that many interesting type of *wavelets* (or *coherent states*) arise from group representations which *are not* square integrable or vacuum vectors which *are not* admissible.

Keywords: Wavelets, coherent states, group representations, Hardy space, functional calculus, Berezin calculus, Radon transform, Möbius map, maximal function, affine group, special linear group, numerical range.

1. Covariant Transform

A general group-theoretical construction^{1–6} of *wavelets* (or *coherent states*) starts from an square integrable (*s.i.*) representation. However, such a setup is restrictive and is not necessary, in fact.

Definition 1. Let ρ be a representation of a group G in a space V and F be an operator from V to a space U . We define a *covariant transform* $\mathcal{W}$ from V to the space $L(G, U)$ of U -valued functions on G by the formula:

$$\mathcal{W} : v \mapsto \hat{v}(g) = F(\rho(g^{-1})v), \quad v \in V, \quad g \in G. \quad (1)$$

Remark 1. We do not require that operator F shall be linear.

Remark 2. Usefulness of the covariant transform is in the reverse proportion to the dimensionality of the space U . The covariant transform encodes properties of v in a function $\mathcal{W}v$ on G . For a low dimensional U this function can be ultimately investigated by means of harmonic analysis. Thus $\dim U = 1$ is the ideal case, however, it is unattainable sometimes, see Ex. 2.4 below.

Theorem 1. *The covariant transform $\mathcal{W}$ (1) intertwines ρ and the left regular representation Λ on $L(G, U)$:*

$$\Lambda(g) : f(h) \mapsto f(g^{-1}h). \quad (2)$$

Proof. We have a calculation similar to wavelet transform [3, Prop. 2.6]:

$$[\mathcal{W}(\rho(g)v)](h) = F(\rho(h^{-1})\rho(g)v) = [\mathcal{W}v](g^{-1}h) = \Lambda(g)[\mathcal{W}v](h). \quad \square$$

Corrolary 1. The image space $\mathcal{W}(V)$ is invariant under the left shifts on G .

2. Examples of Covariant Transform

Example 2.1. Let V be a Hilbert space with an inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and ρ be a unitary representation. Let $F : V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a functional $v \mapsto \langle v, v_0 \rangle$ defined by a vector $v_0 \in V$. Then the transformation (1) is the well-known expression for a *wavelet transform* [4, (7.48)] (or *representation coefficients*):

$$\mathcal{W} : v \mapsto \hat{v}(g) = \langle \rho(g^{-1})v, v_0 \rangle = \langle v, \rho(g)v_0 \rangle, \quad v \in V, g \in G. \quad (3)$$

The family of vectors $v_g = \rho(g)v_0$ is called *wavelets* or *coherent states*. In this case we obtain scalar valued functions on G , thus the fundamental rôle of this example is explained in Rem. 2.

This scheme is typically carried out for a s.i. representation ρ and v_0 being an admissible vector.^{1,2,4-6} In this case the wavelet (covariant) transform is a map into the s.i. functions⁷ with respect to the left Haar measure.

However s.i. representations and admissible vectors does not cover all interesting cases.

Example 2.2. Let G be the “ $ax + b$ ” (or *affine*) group [4, § 8.2]: the set of points (a, b) , $a \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $b \in \mathbb{R}$ in the upper half-plane with the group law:

$$(a, b) * (a', b') = (aa', ab' + b) \quad (4)$$

and left invariant measure $a^{-2} da db$. Its isometric representation on $V = L_p(\mathbb{R})$ is given by the formula:

$$[\rho_p(a, b) f](x) = a^{\frac{1}{p}} f(ax + b). \quad (5)$$

We consider the operators $F_{\pm} : L_2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by:

$$F_{\pm}(f) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{f(t) dt}{t \mp i}. \quad (6)$$

Then the covariant transform (1) is the Cauchy integral from $L_2(\mathbb{R})$ to the Hardy space in the upper/lower half-plane $H_2(\mathbb{R}_{\pm}^2)$. Although the representation (5) is s.i. for $p = 2$, the function $\frac{1}{t \pm i}$ is not an admissible vacuum vector. Thus the complex analysis become decoupled from the traditional

wavelets theory. As a result the application of wavelet theory shall rely on an extraneous mother wavelets.⁸

However many important objects in complex analysis are generated by inadmissible mother wavelets like (6). For example, if $F : L_2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is defined by $F : f \mapsto F_+f + F_-f$ then the covariant transform (1) is simply the *Poisson integral*. If $F : L_2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ is defined by $F : f \mapsto (F_+f, F_-f)$ then the covariant transform (1) represents a function on the real line as a jump between functions analytic in the upper and the lower half-planes. This makes a decomposition of $L_2(\mathbb{R})$ into irreducible components of the representation (5). Another interesting but non-admissible vector is the Gaussian e^{-x^2} .

Example 2.3. For the group $G = SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ ¹⁴ let us consider the unitary representation ρ on the space of s.i. function $L_2(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$ on the upper half-plane through the Möbius transformations:

$$\rho(g) : f(z) \mapsto \frac{1}{(cz + d)^2} f\left(\frac{az + b}{cz + d}\right), \quad g^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let F_i be the functional $L_2(\mathbb{R}_+^2) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ of pairing with the lowest/highest i -weight vector in the corresponding irreducible component of the discrete series [14, Ch. VI]. Then we can build an operator F from various F_i similarly to the previous example, e.g. this generalises the representation of an s.i. function as a sum of analytic ones from different irreducible subspaces.

Covariant transform is also meaningful for principal and complementary series of representations of the group $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$,⁹ which are not s.i.

Example 2.4. A straightforward generalisation of Ex.2.1 is obtained if V is a Banach space and $F : V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is an element of V^* . Then the covariant transform coincides with the construction of wavelets in Banach spaces.³

The next stage of generalisation is achieved if V is a Banach space and $F : V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ be a linear operator. Then the corresponding covariant transform is a map $\mathcal{W} : V \rightarrow L(G, \mathbb{C}^n)$. This is closely related to M.G. Krein's works on *directing functionals*,¹⁰ see also *multiresolution wavelet analysis*,¹¹ Clifford-valued Bargmann spaces¹² and [4, Thm. 7.3.1].

Example 2.5. A step in a different direction is a consideration of non-linear operators. Take again the “ $ax + b$ ” group and its representation (5). We define F to be a homogeneous but non-linear functional $V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$:

$$F(f) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^1 |f(x)| \, dx.$$

The covariant transform (1) becomes:

$$[\mathcal{W}_p f](a, b) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^1 \left| a^{\frac{1}{p}} f(ax + b) \right| dx = a^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{1}{2a} \int_{b-a}^{b+a} |f(x)| dx.$$

Obviously $M_f(b) = \max_a [\mathcal{W}_\infty f](a, b)$ coincides with the Hardy *maximal function*, which contains important information on the original function f . However, the full covariant transform is even more detailed. For example, $\|f\| = \max_b [\mathcal{W}_\infty f](\frac{1}{2}, b)$ is the shift invariant norm.¹³

From the Cor. 1 we deduce that the operator $M : f \mapsto M_f$ intertwines ρ_p with itself $\rho_p M = M \rho_p$.

Example 2.6. Let $V = L_c(\mathbb{R}^2)$ be the space of compactly supported bounded functions on the plane. We take F be the linear operator $V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ of integration over the real line:

$$F : f(x, y) \mapsto F(f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x, 0) dx.$$

Let G be the group of Euclidean motions of the plane represented by ρ on V by a change of variables. Then the wavelet transform $F(\rho(g)f)$ is the *Radon transform*.

Example 2.7. Let a representation ρ of a group G act on a space X . Then there is an associated representation ρ_B of G on a space $V = B(X, Y)$ of linear operators $X \rightarrow Y$ defined by the identity:

$$(\rho_B(g)A)x = A(\rho(g)x), \quad x \in X, g \in G, A \in B(X, Y).$$

Following the Remark 2 we take F to be a functional $V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, for example F can be defined from a pair $x \in X, l \in Y^*$ by the expression $F : A \mapsto \langle Ax, l \rangle$. Then the covariant transform:

$$\mathcal{W} : A \mapsto \hat{A}(g) = F(\rho_B(g)A),$$

this is an example of *covariant calculus*.^{3,15}

Example 2.8. A modification of the previous construction is obtained if we have two groups G_1 and G_2 represented by ρ_1 and ρ_2 on X and Y^* respectively. Then we have a covariant transform $B(X, Y) \rightarrow L(G_1 \times G_2, \mathbb{C})$ defined by the formula:

$$\mathcal{W} : A \mapsto \hat{A}(g_1, g_2) = \langle A\rho_1(g_1)x, \rho_2(g_2)l \rangle.$$

This generalises *Berezin functional calculi*.³

Example 2.9. Let us restrict the previous example to the case when $X = Y$ is a Hilbert space, $\rho_1 = \rho_2 = \rho$ and $x = l$ with $\|x\| = 1$. Then the range of the covariant transform:

$$\mathcal{W} : A \mapsto \hat{A}(g) = \langle A\rho(g)x, \rho(g)x \rangle$$

is a subset of the *numerical range* of the operator A .

Example 2.10. The group $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ consists of 2×2 matrices of the form $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \bar{\beta} & \bar{\alpha} \end{pmatrix}$ with the unit determinant [14, § IX.1]. Let A be an operator with the spectral radius less than 1. Then the associated Möbius transformation

$$g : A \mapsto g \cdot A = \frac{\alpha A + \beta I}{\bar{\beta} A + \bar{\alpha} I}, \quad \text{where } g^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \bar{\beta} & \bar{\alpha} \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{R}),$$

produces a well-defined operator with the spectral radius less than 1 as well. Thus we have a representation of $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$. A choice of an operator F will define the corresponding covariant transform. In this way we obtain generalisations of *Riesz–Dunford functional calculus*.¹⁵

3. Inverse Covariant Transform

An object invariant under the left action Λ (2) is called *left invariant*. For example, let L and L' be two left invariant spaces of functions on G . We say that a pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : L \times L' \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is *left invariant* if

$$\langle \Lambda(g)f, \Lambda(g)f' \rangle = \langle f, f' \rangle, \quad \text{for all } f \in L, f' \in L'. \quad (7)$$

Remark 3.

- (1) We do not require the pairing to be linear in general.
- (2) If the pairing is invariant on space $L \times L'$ it is not necessarily invariant (or even defined) on the whole $C(G) \times C(G)$.
- (3) In a more general setting we shall study an invariant pairing on a homogeneous spaces instead of the group. However due to length constraints we cannot consider it here beyond the Example 3.2.
- (4) An invariant pairing on G can be obtained from an invariant functional l by the formula $\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle = l(f_1 \bar{f}_2)$.

For a representation ρ of G in V and $v_0 \in V$ we fix a function $w(g) = \rho(g)v_0$. We assume that the pairing can be extended in its second component to this V -valued functions, say, in the weak sense.

Definition 2. Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ be a left invariant pairing on $L \times L'$ as above, let ρ be a representation of G in a space V , we define the function $w(g) = \rho(g)v_0$ for $v_0 \in V$. The *inverse covariant transform* $\mathcal{M}$ is a map $L \rightarrow V$ defined by the pairing:

$$\mathcal{M} : f \mapsto \langle f, w \rangle, \quad \text{where } f \in L. \quad (8)$$

Example 3.1. Let G be a group with a unitary s.i. representation ρ . An invariant pairing of two s.i. functions is obviously done by the integration over the Haar measure:

$$\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle = \int_G f_1(g) \bar{f}_2(g) dg.$$

For an admissible vector v_0 ,⁷ [4, Chap. 8] the inverse covariant transform is known in this setup as *reconstruction formula*.

Example 3.2. Let ρ be a s.i. representation of G modulo a subgroup $H \subset G$ and let $X = G/H$ be the corresponding homogeneous space with a quasi-invariant measure dx . Then integration over dx with an appropriate weight produces an invariant pairing. The inverse covariant transform is a more general version [4, (7.52)] of the *reconstruction formula* mentioned in the previous example.

Let ρ be not a s.i. representation (even modulo a subgroup) or let v_0 be inadmissible vector of a s.i. representation ρ . An invariant pairing in this case is not associated with an integration over any non singular invariant measure on G . In this case we have a *Hardy pairing*. The following example explains the name.

Example 3.3. Let G be the “ $ax + b$ ” group and its representation ρ (5) from Ex. 2.2. An invariant pairing on G , which is not generated by the Haar measure $a^{-2} da db$, is:

$$\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle = \lim_{a \rightarrow 0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_1(a, b) \bar{f}_2(a, b) db. \quad (9)$$

For this pairing we can consider functions $\frac{1}{2\pi i(x+i)}$ or e^{-x^2} , which are not admissible vectors in the sense of s.i. representations. Then the inverse covariant transform provides an *integral resolutions* of the identity.

Similar pairings can be defined for other semi-direct products of two groups. We can also extend a Hardy pairing to a group, which has a subgroup with such a pairing.

Example 3.4. Let G be the group $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ from the Ex. 2.3. Then the “ $ax + b$ ” group is a subgroup of $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$, moreover we can parametrise $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ by triples (a, b, θ) , $\theta \in (-\pi, \pi]$ with the respective Haar measure [14, III.1(3)]. Then the Hardy pairing

$$\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle = \lim_{a \rightarrow 0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_1(a, b, \theta) \bar{f}_2(a, b, \theta) db d\theta. \quad (10)$$

is invariant on $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ as well. The corresponding inverse covariant transform provides even a finer resolution of the identity which is invariant under conformal mappings of the Lobachevsky half-plane.

A further study of covariant transform and its inverse shall be continued elsewhere.

References

1. A. Perelomov, *Generalized coherent states and their applications* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986).
2. Feichtinger, Hans G. and Groechenig, K.H., *J. Funct. Anal.* **86**, 307 (1989).
3. V. V. Kisil, *Acta Appl. Math.* **59**, 79 (1999), E-print: [arXiv:math/9807141](#).
4. S. T. Ali, J.-P. Antoine and J.-P. Gazeau, *Coherent States, Wavelets and Their Generalizations* (Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000).
5. H. Führ, *Abstract Harmonic Analysis of Continuous Wavelet Transforms*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 1863 (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2005).
6. J. G. Christensen and G. Ólafsson, *Acta Appl. Math.* **107**, 25 (2009).
7. M. Duflo and C. C. Moore, *J. Functional Analysis* **21**, 209 (1976).
8. O. Hutník, *Integral Equations Operator Theory* **63**, 29 (2009).
9. V. V. Kisil, *Complex Variables Theory Appl.* **40**, 93 (1999), E-print: [arXiv:funct-an/9712003](#).
10. M. G. Kreĭn, *Akad. Nauk Ukrain. RSR. Zbirnik Prac' Inst. Mat.* **1948**, 83 (1948), MR#14:56c, reprinted in.¹⁶
11. O. Bratteli and P. E. T. Jorgensen, *Integral Equations Operator Theory* **28**, 382 (1997), E-print: [arXiv:funct-an/9612003](#).
12. J. Cnops and V. V. Kisil, *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* **22**, 353 (1999), E-print: [arXiv:math/9806150](#). Zbl 1005.22003.
13. A. Johansson, *Systems Control Lett.* **57**, 105 (2008).
14. S. Lang, $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1985).
15. V. V. Kisil, Spectrum as the support of functional calculus, in *Functional analysis and its applications*, North-Holland Math. Stud. Vol. 197, pp. 133–141, (Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2004). E-print: [arXiv:math.FA/0208249](#).
16. M. G. Kreĭn, *Избранные Труды. II* (Akad. Nauk Ukrainy Inst. Mat., Kiev, 1997). MR#96m:01030.